## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

**Content Area: Dance** 

Name of Assessment: NY - DOE Exit Exam

**Reviewer: Content Collaborative** 

Date of Review: 5/2/12

## **Assessment Profile**

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: Mastery-based Assessment

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.2; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.3; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.2; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.3; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.2; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.4-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.4-GLE.2

What is the DOK of the assessment? DOK 4

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: 1-4

**Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:** Choreographic principles, performance, creation, dance research, career connections, written & oral response, interpretation, critical thinking, cultural connections

List the skills/performance assessed: Addresses Task, Solves Task w inventiveness, explains solution clearly, Dynamic Variation, musical rhythmic sensitivity, fullness of physicality, commitment and focus, tech proficiency, expressiveness and individual style, clarity of structure, originality, sustaining of theme/mood, development of mvt material, effective musical choices, fluency of dance concepts, vocabulary and terminology.

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

## The assessment includes:

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Check All That Apply	
х	
х	
Х	
х	
х	
×	

Check All That Apply		
	X	

Scoring Guide/Rubric	Х	
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)		Does not include technology products.
Estimated time for administration	Х	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student		
see/use?	Х	
Other:		

A high quality assessment shou	ld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of	-	
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:	5 H 0 D 11 L 0 N	
This is a comprehensive, mastery-based assessment aligned to the CAS	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
extended pathway in Dance.	IVIACCII- I	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? <b>Select one option below.</b>	Rating Column	
<ul><li>1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.</li><li>More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level</li></ul>	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor — most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor — most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Rating Column  Similar Rigor=2; More	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor — most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		

Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment  Completely aligned=3, Somewhat 1 green for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score alignment to the standards.  Rubric/Scoring Coring Co	A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ing Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) Xchecklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist  Scoring Guide Present Score Qa. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and cherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score 3 2e. Is there student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	•	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)  Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist  Scoring Guide Present Score  Za. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  Zb. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Zc. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  Zd. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Ze. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which lillustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.			
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)  Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)  Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)  Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist  Scoring Guide Present Score  2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:  The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2a. Inter-rater Reliability Score  3a. Somewhat=2, No=1  No=1  No=1  No=1  No=2  No=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  No=1  No=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  No=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  No=1  No=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	_		
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)  Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist  Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1  Scoring Guide Present Score  2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2a. The scoring categories are clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2a. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.			
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist  Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1  Za.Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment 3c. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 3c. No=1  Rubric/Scoring Alignment 3c. Somewhat=2, No=1  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score 3c. Somewhat=2, No=1  Inter-rater Reliability Score		Х	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist    Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1	Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Scoring Guide Present Score  2a.Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
Scoring Guide Present Score  2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.		Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
Za. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.		at least one type=2,	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  3e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.		None=1	
Academic Standards in this assessment.  Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the Scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
coring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  Noel Yes=3, Somewhat=2, Noel  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, N	Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the		
Alignment to the standards.  Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  3  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  3  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  3  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	scoring rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics	Completely aligned=3,	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	present for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise	Somewhat aligned=2,	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 3  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment 3  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	alignment to the standards.	Not aligned=1	
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score Rubric/scoring Coherent Score 3  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  3  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Inter-rater Reliability Score  3  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.	<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
Acc. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Inter-rater Reliability Score  3  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score  Resplain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  No=1  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  Ye	The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.	No=1	
demands within the task or item?  Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1		3	
Explain: Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  No=1	demands within the task or item?		
Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  3  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the same same score in the same same same same same same same sam	<b>Explain:</b> Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for		
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	understanding and knowledge.		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1		3	
score for a given response? Why or why not?  The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1			
The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the standards. As a result, inter-rater reliability should be strong.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	•		
Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  No=1	score for a given response? Why or why not?		
Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  No=1	The teacher instructions and rubric are very explicit and connected to the	Voc-2 Somowhat-2	
Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1			
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	<u> </u>	2	
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	
would be needed?  None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1			
None present. Would need to see the selected response exam, video, written example, and portfolio.  Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1			
written example, and portfolio. No=1		Yes=3. Somewhat=2	
written example, and portione.	·		
Student Work Samples Score 1	Student Work Samples Score		

A high quality assessment should be	FAIR and UNBIASED	
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response: The tasks are nicely organized		
and designed to be visually clear through the use of graphics, bullets,		
indentations, and white space.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?	-	
Provide an explanation of your response: The tasks are very straightforward and easy to understand what is expected.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	· ·	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
The assessment is free of cultural bias	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
This exam demonstrates the highest level of academic language expected	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
for graduates, but it does not go beyond that expectation.	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	1	
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed? It would be easy and		
allowable to incorporate all accommodations, but they are not included.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
<ul> <li>Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways</li> </ul>		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
<ul> <li>Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities,</li> </ul>		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems		
using some type of assistive device or organizer.		
<ul> <li>Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment</li> </ul>		
is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
<ul> <li>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the</li> </ul>		
time is organized.		
<ul> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access</li> </ul>		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
cognitive need.	<u> </u>	
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		
assessment:		
	Yes, Several allowed=3;	
None listed	Yes, Some allowed=2;	
	None allowed =1	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestion
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response: The emphasis on career connections provides a real-world context for this	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
assessment.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The range of item types provides students and educators with a broad	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
spectrum of information about the depth of learning across all standards.	No=1	
	2	
<b>Classroom Learning Score 4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and	3	
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
This a thorough and comprehensive assessment that includes a variety of	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
reporting methods that can be used to document and discuss a student's	No=1	
academic progress.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	2	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly	3	
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The more extensive response items and tasks allow a student to	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
demonstrate their depth of understanding of the individual standards and	No=1	
how the standards connect to one another.	2	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The rubric is clearly defined, well-written and connected to the standards.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
As a result, educators can use these results to determine mastery of standards.	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	2	
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
	v 00 1:5	
This is a summative exam to be administered at the end of the year.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Locate evidence Score	3	

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
SubTotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	1	3
SubTotal	15	18
Scoring Percentage		83.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accomodations Allowed	N/A	3
SubTotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
SubTotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	50	57
Overall Percentage		87.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	