## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

**Content Area: Dance** 

Name of Assessment: NY - High School Arts Assessment, Test Sampler Draft

**Reviewer: Content Collaborative** 

Date of Review: 5/2/12

## **Assessment Profile**

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: Grade 9

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.2; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.3; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.2; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.3; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.2; DA09-

GR.HSEP-S.4-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.4-GLE.2
What is the DOK of the assessment? DOK 3

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: 1-4

**Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:** Choreographic principles, performance, creation, dance research, career connections, written & oral response, interpretation, critical thinking, cultural connections.

**List the skills/performance assessed:** Dance elements and forms, use of theme, transitions, expression, rehearsal, technology, fitness, compare/contrast styles, recognition of master choreographers.

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

**Selected Response** (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

	Check All That Apply
	Х
1	х
	Х
	х
	х
	х

Check All That Apply		
х		

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	x	Assessment does not include technology products. Must be provided at administration site.
Estimated time for administration	Х	Ī
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student		
see/use?	X	
Other:	_	

A high quality assessment shou	ld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
Assessment is comprehensive. Includes a range of item types that address	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
all standards.	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	2	
	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor — most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ng Clear Guidelines a	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	X	
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	X	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	X	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	X	
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: The language used in the scoring		
rubrics is similar to what is used in the CAS. There are also rubrics present	Completely aligned=3,	
for each task so they are differentiated to show more precise alignment to	Somewhat aligned=2,	
the standards.	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The scoring categories are clearly defined and the performance level	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
descriptors make sense as they flow from one to another.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
<b>Explain:</b> Yes, the rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
understanding and knowledge.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
While the criteria is closely aligned to the language in the standards,	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
determining the nuances in the performance levels can be subjective.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score		
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? <b>If so, describe. If not, what student work</b>		
would be needed?		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Writing is depicted, but video of performance task would be useful.	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	2	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
The tasks are nicely organized and designed to be visually clear through the use of graphics, bullets, indentations, and white space.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The tasks are very straightforward and easy to understand what is expected.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
The assessment is free of cultural bias.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?  Provide an explanation of your response:  The language and vocabulary are appropriate for the high school level and	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
for a stadent who has received dance instruction.	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score *Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	3	
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? It would be easy and allowable to incorporate all accommodations, but they are not included.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:  • Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.  • Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.  • Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is		
given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.  Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is		
different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.  3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:		

Yes, Several allowed=3;
None listed.

Yes, Some allowed=2;
None allowed = 1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

N/A

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestion
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
The emphasis on career connections provides a real-world context for this	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
assessment.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The range of item types provides students and educators with a broad	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
spectrum of information about the depth of learning across all standards.	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student		
work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and		
outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your		
response: This a thorough and comprehensive assessment that includes a variety of		
reporting methods that can be used to document and discuss a student's	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
academic progress.	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate		
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other		
content areas or 21st century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation</b>		
of your response:		
The more extensive response items and tasks allow a student to	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
demonstrate their depth of understanding of the individual standards and	No=1	
how the standards connect to one another.	_	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The rubric is clearly defined, well-written and connected to the standards.		
As a result, educators can use these results to determine mastery of	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
standards.	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	2	
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
This assessment represents information covered in a whole unit, if not an		
entire year. It would be easy for an educator to use and include this	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
assessment as part of their body of evidence.	No=1	
assessment as part of their body of evidence		



	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	3	3
Subtotal	6	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		100.0%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	2	3
Subtotal	15	18
Scoring Percentage		83.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	N/A	3
Subtotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	2	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	17	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		94.4%
Grand Total	50	57
Overall Percentage		87.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	