High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Drama and Theatre Arts - Recommended

Name of Assessment: WA Getting the Part

Reviewer(s): Content Collaborative

Date of Review: May 2, 2012

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

Grade 10

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.a; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.c; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.d; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.e; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.e; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.f; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.2-GLE.1-EO.a; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.1-EO.d; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.2-EO.a; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.3-EO.c; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.a; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.c; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.d; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.1-EO.b; DA09-GR.HSEP-S.3-GLE.2-EO.c;

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK 3

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

DOK 1 - 4

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

monologue, character, blocking, memorizing, interpretation,

List the skills/performance assessed:

Speak, orate, capture, express, persuade, create, select, interpret, synthesis, critique

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Check All That Apply	,
n	
У	
У	
у	
	у
	у

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:	n	
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	у	
Estimated time for administration	У	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student		
see/use?	у	
Other:		

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.	_	
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		
aupport your response.	Full=3; Partial =2; No	1
Perfect match, directly correlates to real world applications	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score		<mark>3</mark>
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	•
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		7
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
L		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range]	Flexibility of the task and it is
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		laid out in a sequential
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		laid out in a sequential manner to help guide
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		laid out in a sequential manner to help guide students, reflection questions
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		laid out in a sequential manner to help guide
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Similar Rigor=2; More	laid out in a sequential manner to help guide students, reflection questions

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ng Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	У	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	У	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	n	
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	У	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	У	
,	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	3	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: This rubric utilizes two of the	Completely aligned=3,	
three CAS effectively, it is lacking in the creating standard assessment area		
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
•	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
The rubric's language is clear throughout all grading levels.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
The rubric is aligned with the prompt in a clean and decisive	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
manner.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
, ,		
The rubric uses the language, "clearly, appropriately, effectively,	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
·	2	
Inter-rater Reliability Score		
		The most detailed rubric in
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		the Washington
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		assessment in the
would be needed?		
		responding category.
Student exemplars at all levels would be beneficial to this	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
assessment.	No=1	
	1	
Student Work Samples Score	1	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
rins assessment provides students with a clear assessment and set		
of expectations for executing the task at hand.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
THIS assessment provides a straightforward prompt that is easily		
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	2, 22 2,	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of	3	
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
, ,	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	2	
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The assessment uses a variety of curriculum terms however, there		
is a glossary of terms attached for students of all levels to use.	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score *Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	3	
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
 Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways 		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of		
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. • Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities,		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems		
using some type of assistive device or organizer.		
 Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment 		
is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
 Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the 		
time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		
 Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access 		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
cognitive need.		
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		

This assessment does not clearly spell out accommodations or modifications, however they could easily be created and applied Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

A high quality assessment should ... increase OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented Check all that apply: Strengths/Suggestions students, and students with disabilities **4a.** Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment engages students in a real-world situation in which Yes=3; Somewhat=2; their skills and previous knowledge in the curriculum could be easily No=1 applied. "Engages Students" Score **4b.** To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment allows for students to explore numerous skills Yes=3; Somewhat=2; learned in the classroom and to build upon knowledge their No=1 bringing in from outside sources. **Classroom Learning Score** 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment provides students and community theatres roll Yes=3; Somewhat=2; within their specific community. No=1 **Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score 4d.** To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment provides students with a real world situation in a Yes=3; Somewhat=2; professional environment, that would apply in the areas of No=1 academic expectations as they continue through their education. **Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e**. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment aligns with all of the CAS especially in the area of Yes=3; Somewhat=2; perform and critically respond while incorporating components of No=1 create. **Standards Competency Score**

4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:

This assessment while engaging students in all three areas of the CAS a teacher would most easily be able to locate areas of need within perform and critically respond while create would need to be actively observed in order to be effective.

Locate evidence Score

4es=3; Somewhat=2; No=1

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	3	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	14	18
Scoring Percentage		77.8%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	2	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	13	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		86.7%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	50	57
Overall Percentage	-	87.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	