High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Theatre and Dramatic Arts -- Recommended

Name of Assessment: Scotland NQ Drama int1 SQP

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: April 18, 2012

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

High School

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.4; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-GLE.1; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1-

GLE.2

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK 1-4

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

1-4

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Drama form and structure, selection of dramatic roles, use of dramatic effects, and thematic analyzation

List the skills/performance assessed:

Analyze, employ, develop, support, site, generalize, predict

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

Other:

Check All That Apply	
Х	
Х	
х	
Х	

Check All That Apply	_
	_
Х	-
	_
	_
V	
X	_

A high quality assessment shou	ıld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		Possibly could provide a
They need to add a dramatic scene to complete the assessment in order to make it the ultimate assessment; regardless, the assessment is still fully aligned when a teacher makes the appropriate scene selection.	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	selection of dramatic scenes to tailor to the students ability. Selections must revolve around their stated
Alignment with Standards Score		3
Doubh of Knowledge or Managered by this Assessment	Rating Column	-
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	•
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. Meets rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Meets rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK		
Meets rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Partial rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
Meets rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Partial rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		Ease of use, the higher points are to the right side of the column
Meets rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Partial rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		are to the right side of the

A high quality assessment should beScored us	ing Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	у	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	У	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	n	1
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	У	1
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	n	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	n	
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	1
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	3	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: Rubric is aligned to the	Completely aligned=3,	
questions	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	J
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Rubric gives clear definitions for all rating levels.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Į
They are task specific.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	Į.
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	ł
There is some vagueness between ratings two and three.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	2	
'	_	Rubric is overall of a good
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		quality, but with some minor
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		tweaks this could be
would be needed?		improved.
Could included exemplars from all rating levels	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,]
Could included exemplars from all rating levels	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	2	

A high quality assessment should be	FAIK and UNBIASED)
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
ormatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Specific layout style is visually clear, and rubric maintains those styles.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?]	
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Specific layout to expectations and rubric for those expectations	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		
he items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Clear and free of bias	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
8d. Does the assessment require students to possess a appropriate level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
There are numerous opportunities to explore character development		
through creative writing where the student creates their own language	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
evel while spiraling in the terminology of the class. Nothing outside of content area is needed.	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	1	
Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
etting, and timing and scheduling:		
 Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of 		
nat do not require them to visually read standard print. These diternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
Response Accommodations —Allow students to complete activities,		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems		
using some type of assistive device or organizer.		
Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment		
s given or the conditions of the assessment setting. Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of		
ime to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the		
ime is organized.		
Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
cognitive need.		
If: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		
assessment:		

Students with difficulty in reading and writing will need accommodations.

Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

Could be easily altered by the teacher to meet these areas.

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

2

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Creates automatic real world connections as well as hints at problems of the future courses. Assessment not only assess but gives basic introduction to other skills.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	The 'future plan' for the student is highlighted in many questions as s/he must reflect at the end of the assessment.
"Engages Students" Score	3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Great cumulative assessment, incorporating numerous items, well-rounded.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	ļ
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Presents itself as rigorous, and produces high expectations for student work that are very easy to communicate. This assessment inherently has elements that lend for meaningful dialogue.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly	3	
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of vour response:		
It has an expectation of excellence in many of the categories across the board that the student must consider; therefore, this communication happens individually before the instructor gets to speak to the student. Those expectations are embedded in the reflection questioning.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Depending on how they are tabulated, rubric allows for specifics within areas, not simply one rubric. Using the same rubric should allow for easy charting. The rubric does not have key terms that correlate to evidence outcomes.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	Make sure to detine the rubrics competency requirements before administering.
Standards Competency Score	2	l
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Missing connection to where it fits the standard, although standard is evident, but not listed. It shouldn't be a problem.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	Reference standards above for correlation help.

2

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	3	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	2	3
Subtotal	16	18
Scoring Percentage		88.9%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	13	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		86.7%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	2	3
Locate evidence Score	2	3
Subtotal	16	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		88.9%
Grand Total	50	57
Overall Percentage		87.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Χ
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	