

## High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

[How to use the Assessment Review Tool](#)

<b>Content Area: High School Mathematics</b>
<b>Name of Assessment: DANA1 - Algebra 1</b>
<b>Reviewer: Content Collaborative</b>
<b>Date of Review: October 24, 2012</b>

Assessment Profile	
<b>Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):</b>	<b>Check All That Apply</b>
<b>Selected Response</b> (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Short Answer</b> (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Extended Response</b> (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Product</b> (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Performance</b> (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Process</b> (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The assessment includes:</b>	<b>Check All That Apply</b>
<b>Teacher directions</b> (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Scoring Guide/Rubric</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Materials</b> (if needed to complete the assessment)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Estimated time for administration</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Student Directions &amp; Assessment Task/Prompt</b> – what does the student see/use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other: Descriptions of alternative solutions</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
<b>1a.</b> Grade Level(s): 9 -10  Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: MA10-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.2; MA10-GR.HS-S.2-GLE.4; MA10-GR.HS-S.2-GLE.1; MA10-GR.HS-S.2-GLE.2; MA10-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.1  Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels) : II, III		The questions are a close match for the content and DOK.
<b>1b.</b> Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the performance task: Solving problems with linear functions, graph interpretation, solving problems with quadratic functions, solving problems with exponential functions		
<b>1c.</b> List the skills/performance assessed: standards of mathematical practice 1, 2, 4, 5 & 6		
<b>1d.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Use the definitions below to select your rating.</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Full match</b> – all tasks or items fully address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		

<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Close match</b> – most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Partial match</b> – many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Minimal match</b> – some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
<b>Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: All items with the exception of "sale" item are fully aligned.</b>		
	Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1	
<b>Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating</b>	<b>4</b>	
	<b>Rating Column</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>1e. Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Use the definitions below to select your rating.</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>More rigorous</b> – most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Similar rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		The questions are a close match for the content and DOK.
<b>Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:</b>		
	Similar Rigor=2, More Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	
<b>Rigor Level Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	

## A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Generalized Rubric</b> (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Task-Specific Rubric</b> (only used for the particular task) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Checklist</b> (e.g., with score points for each part) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist</b>	x	A solution guide is included that provides criteria that could be considered in the particular problem. The strength of the questions would support excellent rubric development at the district and/or school level.
<b>2a. Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: No rubric included.</b>		
<b>Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: No rubric included.</b>		
<b>Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Provide and explanation of your response. No rubric included.</b>		
<b>Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. Lack of a rubric would inhibit consistent scoring. See the included comment.</b>		
<b>Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating</b>	<b>1</b>	

<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? Sample student work of each performance level work is needed.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Student Work Samples Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	

## A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED <i>(the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Comments
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Clear and no clutter	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	The language in the tasks is very straightforward and succinct.
<b>Clear &amp; Uncluttered Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Clear, concise questions with multiple ways to approach. Moderately reading intensive.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
<b>Straight Forward Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Clear concise mathematical language.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
<b>Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> CAS language is used in a clear, concise way.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Academic Language Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>3e.</b> Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). None	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Confusing Language Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" <a href="http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&amp;cof=FORID:10&amp;q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language">             (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&amp;cof=FORID:10&amp;q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language)           </a>		
<b>3f.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed?  <i>Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o <b>Presentation Accommodations</b> —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.</li> <li>o <b>Response Accommodations</b> —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.</li> <li>o <b>Setting Accommodations</b> —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.</li> <li>o <b>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations</b> —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.</li> <li>o <b>Linguistic Accommodations</b>—Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.</li> </ul>		
<b>3g:</b> Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an explanation of your response: Time and linguistic accommodations will be needed.	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1	
<b>Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	

## A high quality assessment...Increases Opportunities to Learn

Opportunities to Learn <i>(the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Comments

4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: All tasks are real world.</b>	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Engagement Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: Multiple layers of proficiency are addressed.</b>	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Classroom Learning Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: Student work will provide next instructional steps.</b>	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: In an intentional effort to capture the mathematical practice the assessment include explain your reasoning and justifying a decision.</b>		
<b>Communicate Academic Excellence Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: The results will provide conversation among teachers as to what proficiency looks like.</b>	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Competency on Standards Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: For each question the domain is clear and will provide instructional next steps and is diagnostic in what learning the student is lacking in order to reach the learning expectations.</b>	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Locate Evidence Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>Summary</b>		
	<b>Earned</b>	<b>Possible</b>
Standards Rating	4	5
Rigor Rating	2	2
Subtotal	6	7
		<b>85.7%</b>
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	2	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	1	3
Student Work Samples Rating	2	3
Subtotal	8	15
		<b>53.3%</b>
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	3
Straight Forward Rating	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating	3	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	2	2
Subtotal	16	17
		<b>94.1%</b>
<b>Engagement Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>

Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	3	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
		100.0%
Grand Total	48	57
		84.2%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	X
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	