High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

Content Area: Music		
Name of Assessment: CONNECTICUT COMMON ARTS ASSESSMENT		
2nd GRADE SOLO IMPROVISING		
Reviewer(s): Laurel Reckert & Kristina Kafka		
Date of Review: April 19, 2012		
Assessment Profile	2	
Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: 2nd Grade		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Ex	xpectations evaluated	by the Assessment:
MU09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.1		
What is the DOK of the assessment?		
DOK 3		
Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:		
DOK 2-3		
Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:		
Improvising (Creation of Music) List the skills/performance assessed:		
response time, accuracy, variety, rhythmic complexity, expression		
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among	Check All That Apply	
certain item types):	- Check / III That / Ipp. y	
Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or		
diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table,		
etc.)		
Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)		
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)		
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music	.,	
performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	Х	
Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining,		
visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)		
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply	
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction		
before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned)	X	
Scoring Guide/Rubric	х	
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)		
Estimated time for administration		
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	x	
Other:		

A high quality assessment shou	ıld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		This assessment could
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		include additional parts to
Standard/s? Select one option below.		fully meet GLE 1. Evidence
		Outcomes in this GLE require
		students to improvise short
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		instrumental phrases using
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		the pentatonic scale as well as
		The state of the s
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		to improvise instrumentally
		and vocally over the tonic
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		chord. Both of these
		performance indicators
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		require the use of pitch. A
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		singing and playing
	T	component could easily be
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		added to this assessment to
support your response: This assessment meets the GLE "Create musical		make that accommodation.
phrases in the form, of simple questions and answers alone and in small		The Evidence Outcomes
groups."		address the creation of
	Full=3; Partial =2; No	movement as well. A
	Match= 1	movement component could
Alignograph with Chandanda Coope		be added to the current
Alignment with Standards Score	3	assessment.
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
district of the second o		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: The assessment aligns with the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: The assessment aligns with the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: The assessment aligns with the rigor of the GLE exactly. The GLE states a student must create musical		
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: The assessment aligns with the rigor of the GLE exactly. The GLE states a student must create musical	Similar Rigor=3; More	
grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: The assessment aligns with the rigor of the GLE exactly. The GLE states a student must create musical	Similar Rigor=3; More Rigor=2; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria		
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		The rubric is very strong and
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	Х	aligns with the CAS. Include
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		sample student performances
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	Х	and completed rubrics for
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		teacher reference. Video or
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		audio recordings are
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	suggested to document
	at least one type=2,	exemplary student responses
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: The rubric assesses response	Completely aligned=3,	1
time, accuracy, variety, rhythmic complexity, expression. This all aligns	Somewhat aligned=2,	
with the CAS.	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels?		
Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, the score categories are		
clearly defined for each skill and they are appropriate for second graders.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score		
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the	3 	
demands within the task or item? Explain: The rubric addresses each		
demand of the task specifically.		
,	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
The rubric supports a high level of inter-rater reliability.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?		
No student work is included. Exemplars would be helpful.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	1	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is a performance so there is no student paper. The teacher materials are straightforward.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	The assessment is clear and free from cultural bias and academic language. Suggestions: Provide examples of accommodation for diverse student learners.
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: The task is presented in a very straightforward way that makes it accessible for most learners.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: The vocabulary is free from cultural bias.		
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an explanation of your response: No, it does not require a high level of academic language.	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score		
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's 3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? See below.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling: • Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. • Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. • Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. • Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized. • Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		

3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:	
No accommodations listed. Suggestions by the reviewers: Students could write responses (presentation accommodation), students could tap an "answer" if they couldn't sing or speak one (response accommodation).	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	1

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestion
a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		This assessment provides
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		teachers, students, and
explanation of your response: Yes, this assessment requires students		parents with accurate and
create an "answer" which is an age-level appropriate		applicable data.
composition/improvisation activity .		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
"Engages Students" Score	No=1 3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
earned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This		
assessment provides accurate and thorough information about the		
knowledge and skill they have learned.		
thowledge and skill they have learned.	Van-2: Community at -2:	
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Classroom Learning Score		
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of your response: This assessment could give very specific		
nformation to parents about a student's achievement because of the		
specific rubric.		
THE COURT OF THE C	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment communicates		
nigh expectations for academic excellence because it articulates several		
ingri emperetations for academile excellence because it alticulates sevelal		
-		
-	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
skill areas in which they much show proficiency.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Skill areas in which they much show proficiency. Communicates Academic Excellence Score	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 1e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 1e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score Re. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
skill areas in which they much show proficiency. Communicates Academic Excellence Score	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score Ae. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score Ae. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It is clear	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It is clear that this assessment is a culminating activity. The introductory materials	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s ook like? Provide an explanation of your response: It can be used very easily to explain competency in the standards. Standards Competency Score 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It is clear	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 3	

	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
	No=1
Locate evidence Score	3

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	15	18
Scoring Percentage		83.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	1	3
Subtotal	13	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		86.7%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	51	57
Overall Percentage		89.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Χ
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	