## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

	, <sub>————————————————————————————————————</sub>
Content Area/Grade Level: Music Grade 8	
Name of Assessment: Roller Coaster Fanatic	
Reviewer: Content Collaborative	
Date Reviewed: April 18, 2012	

## **Assessment Profile**

## Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

Grade 8

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

MU09-GR.8-S.2-GLE.1

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK is 3

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

Range is 1-3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Content knowledge is Music Creation GLE 2.1

List the skills/performance assessed:

Creating music, performing music, Incorporation of Theory

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The	assessm	ent i	ncludes:
-----	---------	-------	----------

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

**Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt –** what does the student see/use?

Other:

(	Check All That Apply		
I			
ŀ			
ŀ			
	Χ		
ŀ	X		
ŀ			
L			

Check All That Apply			
х			
Х			
X			
X			
X			
х			

A high quality assessment should beAligned			
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions	
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Select one option below.</b>		The alignment meets the melodic needs but not the harmonic needs.	
<b>Full match</b> – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.			
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.			
<b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.			
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:			
It aligns with melodic criteria only.	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1		
Alignment with Standards Score	2	2	
Double of Versional and a Resourced by this Assessment	Rating Column	-	
<b>Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b.</b> Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Nating Column	4	
grade level expectations? <b>Select one option below.</b>			
<b>More rigorous</b> – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.			
<b>Similar rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.			
<b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.			
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:			
It does not align with harmonic structure listed in CAS but most of the	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1		
items listed are in the DOK.			

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ing Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	Х	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	Х	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
2	Х	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	x	Has scoring notes listed and a task sheet.
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	Х	
	Yes, several types=3,	
	Yes, at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
It aligns with all but the Harmonic Structure.	Completely aligned=3,	
	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:	Vac-2 Camanhat-2	
Very well defined clear and concise.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	J 140-T	
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the	<u> </u>	
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Rubric covers all of the demands of the task.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
It is laid out very clear as to what grade to assign based on work		
It is laid out very clear as to what grade to assign based on work completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain		
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.		
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score		
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?		
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work	No=1 3	
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  There are several exemplars included with the assessment. However,	No=1  3  Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
completed. The examples of student work and annotations that explain the score of each promote strong inter-rater reliability.  Inter-rater Reliability Score  2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  There are several exemplars included with the assessment. However, there are no audio recordings of sample student performances. The	No=1 3	

A high quality assessment should be	FAIR and UNBIASE	)
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Clear and uncluttered.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Very straightforward.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Slight bias if you have never been on a roller coaster.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	2	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Students must have an understanding of music vocabulary and music	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
theory to complete this assignment.  "Academic Language" Score	1	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	1	
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
<ul> <li>Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of</li> </ul>		
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities,		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.  • Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment		
is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
<ul> <li>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of</li> </ul>		
time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		
Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access  and an investment and accommodations the line visits lead of the accommodation to the line visits lead of the accommodation.		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		
cognitive need.		
cognitive need.  3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		
	Yes, Several allowed=3:	
cognitive need.  3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented	Chack all that apply	Strengths/Suggestion
students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestion
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an</b>	2	
explanation of your response:	,	
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
Absolutely, it involves a real life scenario.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have	3	
learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
It tests their knowledge of Music Theory as well as music composition.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
It clearly shows if a student understands the concepts of Theory, Music	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
Vocabulary and creativity.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	No=1	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly	3	
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
It shows if a student can follow directions and grasps the concepts needed		
to perform this assessment. This assessment requires students to use	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
many 21st century skills such as invention and critical thinking and	No=1	
reasoning.		
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
If a student receives a 4 on this assessment it truly shows a depth of	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
understanding regarding Music Vocabulary, Music Theory, and Music	Yes=3; Somewnat=2; No=1	
Compositions.		
Standards Competency Score	3	
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The assessment clearly articulates that it can be used for a variety of	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
purposes.	No=1	
Locate evidence Score	3	

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	2	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	4	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		66.7%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	3	3
Subtotal	16	18
Scoring Percentage		88.9%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	2	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	50	57
Overall Percentage		87.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	Χ
Not Recommended	