## Content Review Tool: Criteria for Identifying High Quality Assessments from a Content and Design Perspective

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

<u> </u>		
Content Area: Music		
Name of Assessment: SmartMusic		
Reviewer: Content Collaborative		
Date of Review: March 19, 2012		

## **Assessment Profile**

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

Performance Pathway for High School and 8th grade down through lower elementary.

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

MU09-GR.HSPP-S.1-GLE.1; MU09-GR.HSPP-S.1-GLE.2; MU09-GR.8- S.1-GLE.1;

MU09-GR.7- S.1-GLE.1; MU09-GR.7- S.1-GLE.2; MU09-GR.6- S.1-GLE.1; MU09-

GR.6- S.1-GLE.2.

What is the DOK of the assessment?

1-2

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

1-4

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

melodic and rhythmic performance and aural assessments

List the skills/performance assessed:

Melodic playing/listening

Rhythmic playing/listening

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

**Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt –** what does the student see/use?

Check /	All That	Apply
	x	
	X	
	Х	
	X	

Χ

**Check All That Apply** 

A L I C (C) 2000 II	THE COURT OF THE ASSESSMENT	Boundary of the control of the contr
Adapted from (C) 2009 Hess, Karin K., Local Assessment Too	olkit: High Quality Assessment.	. Permission to reproduce is given with authorship is cited.

Other:	х	
--------	---	--

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a.		Strengths?
• Grade Level(s):		
		Use of technology
• Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations		Immediate feedback
evaluated by the Assessment:		Corrections given
		User friendly
		Can be used in individual or
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations:		group settings
		Variety of musical
• Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels):		styles/genres
		Improvisation
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		For vocal as well as instrumental
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		instrumentai
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
		Cuggostions?
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		Suggestions?
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		challenges around cost and
		available technology
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge		couldn't require it of all students
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
accorded in the conceptionally, oracle standard, or		having quiet space if
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		recording assessments
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		Suggestions?
support your response:		
•	Full=3; Partial =2; No	The teacher will have to work
accuracy of input with regard to pitches and rhythms.	Match= 1	to make connections to all of
Alignment with Standards Score	3	the standards
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	<u> </u>	1
grade level expectations? <b>Select one option below.</b>		
grade level expectations: Select one option selow.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range malacted for the grade level expectations.		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
<b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
	1	
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
assessment to support your response:		
	Similar Rigor=2; More	1
Rigorous skill range but limited higher DOK	Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	
	,	
Depth of Knowledge (Rigor) Score		•

A high quality assessment should beScored using	ng Clear Guidelines a	nd Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	X	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2, None=1	
Secring Guide Dresent Search		
Scoring Guide Present Score		
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic		
Standards in this assessment.		
<b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> N/A – computer generated	Completely aligned=3,	Strengths?
	Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1	Immediate
Dubuis Alianad with Standards Coore	_	Computerized
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across	N/A	
,		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	Suggestions?
computerized percentage	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	Teacher can align the % to
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		own grading method
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
only melodic and rhythmic accuracy but not expressiveness or tone quality	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b>		
No since it is computer generated		Suggestions?
	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
	Yes=1	A portfolio of student work
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	would have to be created.
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?		
A portfolio of songs can be created. Accurate examples are aurally available		
but not student generated.		
was not stauent benefated.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	2	

A high quality assessment should be	FAIR and UNBIASED	)
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		Strengths?
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		Multiple skill levels available
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Well formatted and upgraded; adding new music for band, choir and		-
orchestra	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Highly straightforward and user friendly to include aural examples, variable tempo options	All=3, Some=2, None=1	1
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		1
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an</b> explanation of your response:		
Not noted as being biased	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:	No-2 Comowhat-2	4
Low level of content language due to the focus on melodic and rhythmic expression	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		1
Standards" (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4q		
wcy&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Langua		
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		1
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Variable tempo; lower melodic and rhythmic skill level		1
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:  • Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways		4
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.	Х	
<ul> <li>Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type</li> </ul>	х	]
of assistive device or organizer.  • Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment is	x	-
given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is	X	1
organized.		4
o <b>Linguistic Accommodations</b> — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The	х	
accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.	^	

"Adequate Accomodations Allowed" Scor	2
	None allowed =1
Variable tempo; variable skill levels	Yes, Some allowed=2;
	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2;
assessment:	
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real		
world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation		
of your response:		
Use of technology	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
Includes variety of genres (i.e., pop, jazz)	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		Suggestions?
assessment can provide good information about what students have learned		Does not track expressive
in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		playing
Tue also used a die estad ubstables in abilla	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	1
Tracks melodic and rhythmic skills	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student		
work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and		
outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your		
response:	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	1
Recordings can be sent to parents, creating dialogue	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate		
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other		
content areas or 21st century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of</b>		
vour response: Students can set goals		
Stadents can set goals	v. a Come hat a	
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,		1
to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student		
work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Strong to pre and post assess though focused melodic and rhythmic skill		
level	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	3	
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,		
to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the		
assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction,		
etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:  Teacher must take time to align with GLE wanted to assess	Ves=3: Somewhat=2:	1
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	1

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
SubTotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	N/A	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	2	3
SubTotal	12	18
Scoring Percentage		66.7%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accomodations Allowed	2	3
SubTotal	14	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		93.3%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
SubTotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	49	57
Overall Percentage		86.0%

Must be aligned to GLE being tested; not appropriate for lower elementary and High School Generalist Pathway; does not include expressive playing qualities

(Partially meets Standards Alignment Criteria)

Variables include testing environment, microphone, skill focus

(Partially meets Scoring Criteria)

Varied skill levels and genres music; opportunity for teacher creation as needed

(Meets Fairness & Bias Criteria)

Multiple options for varying skill levels and progression

(Meets Opportunities to Learn Criteria)

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
runy Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X