High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Reading, Writing and Communicating

Name of Assessment: <u>Teachers College Reading & Writing Project Common Core-Aligned Performance Assessments</u>, Grade 5 - http://readingandwritingproject.com/resources/assessments/performance-assessments.html

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 2-1-12 and 4-18-12

PLEASE NOTE: Depth of Knowledge (DOK) Considerations for Reading, Writing and Communicating Assessments In August 2012, Colorado became a Governing State in the Partnership for Assessment of College and Career Readiness (PARCC) multi-state assessment consortium. At this time, PARCC has not released DOK indicators for the Common Core State Standards which the consortium is set to assess in 2014-2015. In order to move forward with the alignment portion of the assessment review process, the Colorado Reading, Writing and Communicating Content Collaborative utilized DOK indicators that were previously published by the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium's Content Specifications for the Summative Assessment of the Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts and Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects. As additional information becomes available from PARCC, adaptations and revisions will be made to the assessment reviews in this Resource Bank, as necessary.

PARCC http://www.parcconline.org/

SMARTER Balanced Assessment Consortium (Content Specifications for the Summative Assessment of the Common Core State Standards) http://www.smarterbalanced.org/wordpress/wpcontent/uploads/2011/12/ELA-Literacy-Content-Specifications.pdf

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

5th

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

RWC10-GR.5-S.1-GLE.2-EO.a; RWC10-GR.5-S.1-GLE.2-EO.c.i; RWC10-GR.5-S.2-GLE.2-EO.a.ii; RWC10-GR.5-S.2-GLE.2-EO.d.i; RWC10-GR.5-S.3-GLE.2-EO.a; RWC10-GR.5-S.4-GLE.1-EO.b; RWC10-GR.5-S.4-GLE.2-EO.a; RWC10-GR.5-S.4-GLE.3-EO.d;

What is the DOK of the assessment?

Ranges from DOK 2-4 [Tasks 1 & 2: DOK 2, Understand & Analyze; Task 3: DOK 3, Analyze & Evaluate; Task 4: DOK 2, Understand & Analyze; Task 5: DOK 3 and 4, Analyze; Task 6: DOK 4, Evaluate & Createl

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

GLE 1.2 has a DOK range of 1-4; 2.2 1-4; 3.3 range 1-4; 4.2 range 2-4; 4.3 range 1-2

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Text complexity increases from Task 1 to Task 2 and on to Task 4, as students read text written by age-mates, then an article, then research from a content-area expert

Reasoning

Judgment

Relevant supporting evidence

List the skills/performance assessed:

Quote accurately from a text

Integrate information

Recall information from a text

Craft an argument with supporting evidence

Draw inferences

Summarize texts and video

Synthesize information from a variety of sources

Read and understand a set of increasingly complex texts

Write an essay arguing for a point of view, citing supporting, relevant evidence and using appropriate conventions

Use the reasoning process to foster desirable outcomes

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

Other: Performance Assessment Data Sheet for the teacher to record group and student data and to make comments and plans for "Next Steps." Also there is a Performance Assessment Behavior Checklist that describes for students and teacher what the student should be doing.

Strengths: The key concepts in the GLEs are addressed in this assessment.

Strengths: Skills assessed align with those in the Evidence outcomes.

Check All That Apply
Х
х

Cł	neck All That Apply
	x
<u> </u>	Χ
	x
	Х
	Χ
	X

A high quality assessment shoul	d beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Select one option below.		Strengths: There is a strong
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		alignment between this assessment and the CAS GLE's indicated. In the assessment itself, the CCSS are referenced, and the assessment's alignment to the CCSS is explained.
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		
One-to-one correspondence between the wording of the standards addressed and the task requirements. An example of this follows: "[Wording from the standard] Determine two or more main ideas of a text and explain how they are supported by key details; summarize the text. (CCSS RI.5.2; CAS Grade 5 2.2.a(ii) [Wording from the assessment]: Students will summarize the main idea of a digital text and of a written text in paragraph form. They will state the main ideas, and show how those are supported by key ideas and details."	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score		3
Double of Versulades as Massaured by this Assaured	Rating Column	4
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.	Kating Column	Strengths: The DOK of the assessment also align very strongly with the intended
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		DOK of the standards. The rigor of the assessment is high, and it equates to the
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		rigor of the standards.
Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		
On the high end of the range of DOK listed for our GLEs: the tasks in this assessment have the following DOKs: Tasks 1 & 2: DOK 2, Understand & Analyze; Task 3: DOK 3, Analyze & Evaluate; Task 4: DOK 2, Understand &	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=2; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored using	ng Clear Guidelines a	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	Х	Strengths: This is an
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	X	assessment that integrates
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	X	reading and writing. It
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	addresses our Research &
	at least one type=2,	Reasoning standard first and
	None=1	foremost.
Scoring Guide Present Score	3	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic		There is also a Performance
Standards in this assessment.		Assessment Behavior
Provide an explanation of your response: The tasks and rubric tell you		Checklist that can be used to
which Common Core standards are being addressed, and the CAS use the		assess and help students self-
Common Core Standards.		assess their engagement with
There is also a chart (5th Grade Performance Assessment Data Sheet) for		and readiness for the task.
the teacher to keep track of the performance assessment data. This can be		This information could be
shared with students. Information from their Running Records can be added		used for teachers using
_	Completely aligned=3,	standards-based grading,
to the chart. The data is broken out into Reading and Writing	Somewhat aligned=2,	because it breaks out learning
	Not aligned=1	behaviors/life skills from
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	content knowledge and skills.
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		Suggestions: Note: This task
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Yes. The wording is clear. And there are clear examples at each level (in the		does not address Style and
annotated student responses – anchor papers) that help you know what	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	Fluency, nor does it address
level students are scoring at.	No=1	Conventions. It addresses
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the	3	Content, Organization and Ideas. It does not address
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		every aspect of writing or
		reading, nor is it intended to.
Tasks 1, 3 and 5 are not scored. They are part of the teaching for the	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
assessment. It is Everything else is addressed. Each rubric element is labeled	No=1	
with the Common Core standard listed in the assessment. Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?	_	
The angles manage make it likely that interpretary and a second second	3	
The anchor papers make it likely that inter-rater correspondence	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
would be high.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score		
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would		
be needed?	,	
Vos there are examples of student work at all searing levels. The	3	
Yes, there are examples of student work at all scoring levels. The	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
examples are annotated.	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	3	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		Strengths: There is an update
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		on the website of the Reading
graphics, and illustrations)?		& Writing Project that tells
Provide an explanation of your response:		about a clarification of
, , ,		instructions on this
Video is used in the task. Some of the reading passages have accompanying		assessment. This shows that
pictures that are age-appropriate and interesting. The booklet provided for		the authors are continually
students to respond to the tasks has enough white space and room for		revising and updating the
students to write.		assessment.
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	ussessiment.
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	3
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The items are presented in easy-to-understand language for most		
students. You could use your normal accommodations to address specific		
student needs. We don't know if there are enhanced versions of the video		
for blind and deaf students.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	2 2	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of	•	2
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Terms used are not culturally biased. The information in the		1
reading does not assume familiarity with the topic.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	3
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic		
language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Students of teachers that use the academic language of the standards will		
not have difficulty with the directions for the tasks. The WIDA standards		
state that students should be exposed to grade-level words, such as those		
presented in this assessment and its tasks. ELLs are being asked to address		
cognitively rigorous material and to think at high levels, as are native English		
speakers.	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	1	1
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
 Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways 		Suggestions: Check to make
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of		sure that all schools can
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		access the online materials –
 Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, 		video and print materials. The
and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type		lack of availability of
of assistive device or organizer.		projectors would make it
 Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. 		difficult for some to show the

video to all students from a Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is computer. If schools needed organized. to print out the written materials, they would lose the o **Linguistic Accommodations** — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access colorful pictures that academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accompany some of the text. accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need. 3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment: The assessment includes "suggested protocols". In the suggested protocols under "giving the assessments", the following are described: time-and-a-half Yes, Several allowed=3; or double, scribing, directions read aloud, and listening devices. It also Yes, Some allowed=2; states that any accommodations normally permitted for students are None allowed =1 allowed for this assessment. Any accommodations recommended in their plans should be used.

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented		
students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real		
world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
		Strengths/Suggestions: the
Yes, definitely. The content (animals) is of high interest to the majority of		real-world nature of these
students at this age. The task is very authentic in that they are being treated	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	tasks make them interesting
as scientists and researchers in addressing a real-life problem.	No=1	and engaging to students, as
		does the topic, animals.
"Engages Students" Score	3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have learned		
in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response :		
in the classiconi: Frovide an explanation of your response.		
It provides good information about research and reasoning – using		
information from multiple sources and formats to inform a reasoned	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
argument. It is not a science assessment, but it uses science knowledge for	No=1	
the topic, which is engaging to upper elementary students.		
Classroom Learning Score	3	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student	-	
work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and		
outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your		
response:		
Data can be shared with students and parents, and can be discussed by		
teachers in Professional learning Communities. Student work could be		
scored as a group. Because the skills and concepts assessed are "high	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
prior instruction without the teacher feeling that time spent preparing for		
the assessment is time taken away from real learning.		
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate	-	1
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other		
content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of		
your response:		
Students are held accountable via the Student Behavior Checklist, so they		
know what the expectations are for their performance. The rubric outlines		4
the performance expectations in student-friendly language, so they know		
what they are accountable for. The content is presented in multiple	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
modalities (video, pictures with captions, text) because students are	No=1	
expected to learn and to express their learning in a variety of modalities.		
Communicates Academic Excellence Score 4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,	3	4
to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student		
work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The Derformance Accessment Data Cheet acts as a student data flavorback		
The Performance Assessment Data Sheet acts as a student data flow sheet		
that quickly gives the teacher accurate assessment information on the group		
as a whole and on individual students. Use of this data sheet can make this	No=1	
an assessment for learning as well as an assessment of learning.		1

4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:	
There is an explanation of standards alignment in the assessment information sheet that supports where the assessment falls in the curriculum. An explanation of standards alignment in the assessment information sheet is included that supports where the assessment aligns to the standards, along with a description of the purpose for the assessment. This assessment is called a "Performance Assessment" with four different tasks included. Each task builds on the next, providing time for the teacher to adjust instruction. There are also data tracking sheets included in the assessment to allow for diagnostic and/or reporting grades/performance.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1
Locate evidence Score	3

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	3	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability		3
Student work present	3	3
Subtotal	15	18
Scoring Percentage		83.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	13	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		86.7%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	51	57
Overall Percentage		89.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	X
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	