High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Science	
content Area. Science	

Name of Assessment: "Sky Watchers" Summative Assessment

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 11/14/2012

Sky Watchers: Observing predictable patterns of interaction between the Earth, Sun and Moon is one of Delaware's state summative assessments. It is written for fourth grade and we evaluated it for fourth grade Standard 3 Earth Systems Science. It does not address the entire Colorado standard, but does address IQ 1. What are the patterns of movement for the Sun and Moon across the sky? IQ 3. How do we study the solar system? EO C: Sunrise and Sunset, and Moon movements and phases. R&A 1. Space exploration has produced data to answer questions about the solar system. It consists of 7 questions and they are short constructed responses.

Assessment Profile	
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):	Check All That Apply
Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain	
your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	х
Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	х
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	
Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned)	х
Scoring Guide/Rubric	х
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like the scoring guide references a separate document with anchor papers but it is not found on the website.	
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	
Estimated time for administration Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use? The student sees a set of written questions with lines to answer. Other:	х

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Grade Level(s): 4		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the		
Assessment: SC09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.1		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: DOK 1-3		
Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels): DOK 1		
1b. Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the		
performance task: IQ 1. What are the patterns of movement for the Sun and Moon across		
the sky? IQ 3. How do we study the solar system? EO C: Sunrise and Sunset, and Moon		
movements and phases. R&A 1. Space exploration has produced data to answer questions		
about the solar system.		

1c. List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?): Skills are not necessarily addressed - students are only expected to provide knowledge-based answers.		
 1d.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Use the definitions below to select your rating. Full match – all tasks or items fully address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Close match – most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. x Partial match – many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Minimal match – some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. 		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: The content and concepts are addressed but the skills are not assessed.		
	Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1	
Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating		
1e. Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Use the definitions below to select your rating. ☐ More rigorous — most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	Comments
Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: IQ 1 is "What are the patterns of movement for the Sun and Moon across the sky" and question 4b. States "Describe the position (direction) of the Sun as it appears to move throughout the day". Question 5 asks to explain the phases of the moon.		
	Similar Rigor=2, More	
Rigor Level Rating	Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	

A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
x Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
x Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
 Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) 		
□ Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
□ Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Rating Column	
2a. Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: Yes but only to the specific IQ and R&A sections of the standard mentioned above. Not the entire GLE.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating	2	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: For each constructed response, there are criteria for what constitutes "Complete, Partially Correct, Incorrect, and Non Responses.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	3	

2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Provide an explanation of your response. Each demand within the task is fully addressed on the rubric.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	3
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. Provide an explanation of your response. The rubric is written clearly enough that there would be similar scores with different raters.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating	3
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? The rubric page mentions that there is a separate attachment for anchor papers but we could not locate it.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Student Work Samples Rating	1

A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? Provide an explanation of your response: Good use of white space and graphics are mostly in color. They relate directly to the question.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment relies heavily on writing and understanding visual representations of earth and moon. Otherwise, it is straightforward.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Straight Forward Rating	2	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: There is one question that specifically asks about the phases of the moon and relates the question to Delaware. This question would probably need to be modified to Colorado.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	2	
3d. Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? Provide an explanation of your response. Utilizes appropriate technical and academic language for the content area.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Academic Language Rating	3	
3e. Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). Provide an explanation of your response. Adheres to technical, academic, and basic language.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Confusing Language Rating	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&cof=FORID:10&q=D efining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language) 3f. If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? Provide an explanation of your response.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling: x Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. x Response Accommodations —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. x Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. x Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		
x Linguistic Accommodations— Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		
3g: Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an explanation of your response. The teacher can create all of the above accommodations so the assessment is accessible to all learners. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1	

(the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of Ests, gifted and training that connects to a real world, new cortext. Struction, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response. This screen high due to the nature of the subject matter (parth, mon., sun.). 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response. This sassessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating. 2 4c. To what destent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to go to Teacher holes and Directions for Administration? to see what each question perfectly address. Meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to go to Teacher holes and Directions for Administration? to see what each question perfectly address. Meaningful dialogue an easier from this information. 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows the content assess of the students of pour response. Teacher needs to go to Teacher holes and Directions for Administration? to see what each question and the students of your response. Teacher leaves or 25st Century skills to students? Provide an explanation of your response. Teacher leaves or 25st Century skills to students of classroom of your response. The provide an explanation of your response. The provide and the content evaluated by the task or the set of liens previewed, to what explanation and your response	Opportunities to Learn	Rating Column	Comments
Table 2006 this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, studetion, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response. This scores high was to the nature of the subject matter (early, moon, sun). To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment and provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating. 2 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment [scores and student work analysis] foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with tradents and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to "Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating. 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate acidemic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century additions). The content areas or 21st Century skills to students? Provide an explanation of your responses. Academic excellence Rating. 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what Competency on standards folk like? Provide an explanation of your responses. The competency on Standards Rating. 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what Competency on standards folk like? Provide an explanation of your responses. It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating. Summary Standards Rating. 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 6			
context, Situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: This cover None 1 Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the Classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment is cover and student work analysis floster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to precede the provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to precede an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to precede a provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to precede a provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to provide an explanation of your response. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4c. To what extent do you believe the assessment secretal provide an explanation of your response. The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4d. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on Standards/ Iook like Provide an explanation of your response. The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Clarity of Purpose Rating 4d. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extend do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., dagnostic,	talented students, and students with disabilities)		
Context, Stutistion, process or challenger provise an explanation of your response. Institute of the nature of the subject matter (parth, mono, sun). Engagement Rating Ab. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills treated by the assessment can provise good information about what students have kearned in the classroom?. Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment is cores and student work analysis / loster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to "Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, maniference to other content areas or 21st Century skills in students? Provide an explanation of your responses. Communicate Academic Excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify show like Provide an explanation of your responses. The problem is that if doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and RAR mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extend you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment reviewed, to what excellence is a substantial provided and the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what excellence is a substantial provided and the co		High=3: Moderate=2:	
Be, To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to Treacher Notes and Directions for Administration* to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: Academic excellence Rating Communicate Academic Excellence Rating Communicate Academic Excellence Rating Competency on Standards Rating Competency on Standards Rating Competency on Standards Rating As mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Rig		_	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the daskroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) toster meaningful idialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs tog to the "teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question is pecifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence leg., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century. Julis 10 students? Provide an explanation of your response. Addemic Excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work enables) to understand what competency on standards/ book like? Provide an explanation of your response: the problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the (Q and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., daysonstit, personse): The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the (Q and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of tems reviewed, to what extend do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., daysonstit, personse): Provided an explanation of your response: The provid	scores high due to the nature of the subject matter (earth, moon, sun).		
provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and practise? Provide an explanation of your response. Teacher needs to go to "Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills to students? Provide an explanation of your response. Academic excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what completency on standard's look like Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4. Eligh=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Aff. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment servus (e.g. diagnosts, report card grade, adjusting instruction, etc.) Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 5 Right Rating 3 5 Rubbric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 6 Rubbric/Scoring Aligned wi/Standards Rating 4 71.4% Rubbric/Scoring Aligned wi/Standards Rating 5 1 7 Rubbric/Scoring Aligned wi/Standards Rating 6 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		3	
explanation of your response: This assessment is knowledge based rather than skills based. Classroom Learning Rating 2 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (score and student work analysis) I toster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs tog to Treacher Notes and Directions for Administration* to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/ Outcomes Rating 3 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills to students? Provide an explanation of your response: Academic excellence faiting 4. 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers on use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4 4f. Rased on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adulpsing instruction, etc.) Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 Standards Rating 3 Right Standards Rating 3 Robirt/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Robirt/Scoring Language Rating 3 Robirt/Scoring Language Rating 3 Academic Language Rating 3		High-2, Madayata-2,	
Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) (oster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Tacher needs tog to tracher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate earderine excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century stills) to students Provide an explanation of your response. Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standards for lating the response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on Standards Rating and the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., dayons, to compete the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Right Rating Right Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned wi/Standards		_	
Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to Treacher Notes and Directions for Administration' to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your responses: Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results scores and student work analysis to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: The acute and accordance and acc		LOW OF NOTICE-1	
sandysis foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to "Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: Academic excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and RB. Amentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4B. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: The provides and explanation of your response: The assessment survey (e.g. Substocial Standards Rating 3 Standards Rating 4 Standards Rating 2 Standards Rating 4 Rating 4 Rating 4 Rating		2	
sandysis foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Teacher needs to go to "Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: Academic excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and RB. Amentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4B. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: The provides and explanation of your response: The assessment survey (e.g. Substocial Standards Rating 3 Standards Rating 4 Standards Rating 2 Standards Rating 4 Rating 4 Rating 4 Rating	4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (<i>scores and student work</i>		
Treacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each question specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate eachemic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century, skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your responses. Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard's flook like? Provide an explanation of your responses: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, reopt card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your responses: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating Rigor Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Aligned Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Rubric/Scoring Rubric/Scoring Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Rubric/Scor		High-2, Madayata-2,	
Teacher Notes and Directions for Administration" to see what each guestion specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures undeststanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Summary Summary Earned Possible Summary Earned Possible Summary Earned Possible Summary Earned Possible Summary Audity (Sporing Choerent Rating) Rigor Rating 2 2 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Choerent Rating Rubric/Scoring Indigned with Task Rating Subtotal Clear & Uncluttered Rating Free of Cultural or Microward Rating Academic Language Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 2		_	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students's Provide an explanation of your responses. Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 2 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standards/s look like? Provide an explanation of your responses. The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned		LOW OF HOME-1	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standards (look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Af: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. low or None=1 Afights 3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Afights 3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Afights 4; Mights 4; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Afights 4; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Af	specifically addresses. Meaningful dialog can ensue from this information.		
academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your responses: Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of litems reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the result (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standards look like? Provide an explanation of your responses: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating Competency on Standards Rating Competency on Standards Rating Ligh=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Student Work Samples Rating Student Work Samples Rating Student Work Samples Rating Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Academic Accommodations Allowed Rating Academic Accommodations Allowed Rating Acquired Accommodations Allowed Ra	Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	
Skills to students? Provide an explanation of your responses: Academic excellence in writing can be assessed in the student's constructed responses. Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of litems reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard's look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating Competency on Standards Rating Aff. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your responses: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Student Work Samples Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment allows students to demonstrate		
Communicate Academic Excellence Rating 2 4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your responses: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 2 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.) Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 Standards Rating 3 Figer Rating 2 Cardinards Rating 2 Rigor Rating 2 Rigor Rating 2 Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 15 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 Academic Language Rating 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 4 Adequate A		High=3; Moderate=2;	
Ae. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Subtotal Rigor Rating Competency of Carrity of Purpose Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/H Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/H Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/H Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/H Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/H Task R		Low or None=1	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Rigor Rating Subtotal Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating		_	
extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4. Competency on Standards Rating 2. 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 5. Standards Rating 3 5. Rigor Rating 2 2 2. Subtotal 5 7. Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3. Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3. Student Work Samples Rating 1 3. Subtotal 12 15. Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3. Student Work Samples Rating 1 3. Academic Language Rating 2 3. Academic Language Rating 3 3. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3.	Communicate Academic Excellence Rating	2	
understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 2 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 Standards Rating 3 Standards Rating 2 Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 Riggr Rating 2 Subtotal 5 7 Riggr Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 71.4% Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 Subtotal 12 15 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Glear & Uncluttered Rating 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 Academic Language Rating 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3			
response: The problem is that it doesn't measure the entire standard, just the IQ and R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 71.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric-Tater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 5 7 80.0% Clear & Uncluttered Rating 5 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 4 Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 4 Accommodations Allowed Rating 4 4 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 4 4 Accommodations Allowed Rating 4 4 Accommodations Allowed Rating 4 4 Accommodations Allowed		High=3; Moderate=2;	
R&A mentioned above. Competency on Standards Rating 4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 2 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned Rating 3 3 Clarity of Purpose Rating 4 1 3 Standards Rating 5 7 Ti. 4% Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 6 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned Rating 7 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned Rating 8 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned Rating 9 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 9 3 3 Academic Language Rating 9 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 9 3 3		Low or None=1	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Subtotal Subtotal Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating			
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Clarity of Purpose Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Standards Rating Subtotal Subtotal Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	Competency on Standards Rating	2	
extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rigor Rating Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating			
diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating Rigor Rating Subtotal Subtotal Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Subtotal Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Subtotal Clear & Uncluttered Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scorin			
response: It measures understanding of the content and concepts of the identified portions of the standard. Clarity of Purpose Rating Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating Standards Rating Subtotal Subtotal Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating Student Work Samples Rating Clear & Uncluttered Rating Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Academic Language Rating Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating Academic Language		High=3; Moderate=2;	
Clarity of Purpose Rating 3 Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 T1.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3		Low or None=1	
Summary Earned Possible Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 71.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 80.0% 12 15 Straight Forward Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 T1.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 13 3 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations	Clarity of Purpose Rating	3	
Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 T1.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 13 3 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations			
Standards Rating 3 5 Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 T1.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 13 3 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations	Summary	Farned	Possible
Rigor Rating 2 2 Subtotal 5 7 71.4% 71.4% Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 80.0% 80.0% Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 3			
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 2 3 Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 Subover Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2	Subtotal	5	-
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			
Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			
Student Work Samples Rating 1 3 Subtotal 12 15 Subtotal 12 15 80.0% Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Subtotal 12 15 80.0% Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3 Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Straight Forward Rating 2 3 Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 2 3 Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2	Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating 3 3 Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Confusing Language Rating 3 3 Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2			
	·		

	•	94.1%
Engagement Rating	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	2	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	2	3
Competency on Standards Rating	2	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	15	18
		83.3%
Grand Total	48	57
		84.2%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	Х
Not Recommended	