## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool Content Area: Economics Name of Assessment: Snow-Day Decisions **Reviewer: Content Collaborative** Date of Review: 3 May, 2012 **Assessment Profile** Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: Grade 5 Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: SS09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.2-EO.a; SS09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.2-EO.c What is the DOK of the assessment? DOK 1 Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: **DOK 1.2** Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed: **Opportunity Cost** List the skills/performance assessed: Identification of a decision and identification of opportunity cost. Writing. Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among **Check All That Apply** certain item types): Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) **Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks) **Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.) **Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision) **Check All That Apply** The assessment includes: **Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...) Scoring Guide/Rubric Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like: **Materials** (if needed to complete the assessment) Estimated time for administration Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student

see/use?

Other:

A high quality assessment shou	ıld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of	-	
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
Only 2 avidence automore are adducted in this account	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
Only 2 evidence outcomes are addressed in this assessment.	Match= 1	
Only 2 evidence outcomes are addressed in this assessment.  Alignment with Standards Score	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Match= 1  2  Rating Column	
Alignment with Standards Score	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	2	
Alignment with Standards Score  Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK	2	
Alignment with Standards Score Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment  1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.  More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor — most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:	Rating Column	

A high quality assessment should beScored us	ing Clear Guidelines a	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Feacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score		
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.		
	Completely allers d=2	
Provide an explanation of your response: Scoring tool is not provided.	Completely aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score		
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Scoring tool is not provided.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	1	
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Scoring tool is not provided.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignmen	t 1	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
, ,		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Even though there is no rubric, answers would clear to the scorer.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	2	
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
No student work is provided.	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score		

A high quality assessment should be.	FAIR and UNBIASED	)
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
It is clear: assessment is one page long and there are only 2 questions.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
There are lines provided for the answers.  "Clear & Uncluttered" Score		
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:	1	
It is somewhat straight forward. It might be improved if it was broken		
out -"identification of decision" and "opportunity cost" - into two	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score		
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
There is no evidence of bias in this assessment.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
It requires students to possess the academic language of the standard.	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
<ul> <li>Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of</li> </ul>	X	
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.	77	
<ul> <li>Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities,</li> </ul>		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems	X	
using some type of assistive device or organizer.		
<ul> <li>Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment</li> </ul>		
is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  O Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of		
time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the	X	
time to complete an assessment of assignment and perhaps change the way the	` <b>`</b>	
o <b>Linguistic Accommodations</b> — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access		
	Х	
<ul> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.</li> </ul>	Х	
<ul> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.</li> <li>The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,</li> </ul>	х	
<ul> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.</li> <li>The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a</li> </ul>	Х	

No accommodations recommended, however accommodations could be provided by reading the assessment, providing language for the students, or allowing as much time as needed to complete the task (presentation "Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

2

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
The question is presented as a real world to a student in Colorado who	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
may make choices because of a snow day.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
It would provide good information to the teacher about their knowledge	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
and understanding of the concept "opportunity cost."	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
There is no scoring criteria provided, but the student work could be used	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
as a meaningful dialogue with other teachers who administered the	No=1	
same assessment.	110-1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	2	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of vour response:		
There is no scoring rubric, but students are involved in decision making.		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	2	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
It addresses EOs parts A and C, rather than the Evidence Outcome.		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
Chan danda Cannashan ya Canna	No=1	
Standards Competency Score		
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
This could be used as a formative assessment to adjust your teaching or	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
for a score.  Locate evidence Score	NO=1 2	
Locate evidence Score		



	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	2	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	4	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		66.7%
Scoring Guide Present	1	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	1	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	7	18
Scoring Percentage		38.9%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	13	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		86.7%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	2	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	2	3
Competency on Standards Score	2	3
Locate evidence Score	2	3
Subtotal	14	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		77.8%
Grand Total	38	57
Overall Percentage		66.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
	X - scoring criteria
Dartially Recommended	X - scoring criteria and/or student work
Partially Recommended	samples would
	increase usefulness
Not Recommended	