High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

| Content Area: Social Studies | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Name of Assessment: Worldviews in Conflict – Grade 8 Alberta, Canad | a/ Grade 6 Colorado |
| Reviewer: Content Collaborative | http://www.aac.ab.ca/assessment- |
| Date of Review: 3/1/12 | materials/worldviews-in-conflict/ |

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

6

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

SS09-G.6-S.1-GLE.1; SS09-GR.6-S.2-GLE.2
What is the DOK of the assessment?

J

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

1-3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

(Alberta, CA from Assessment)Through an examination of Spanish and Aztec societies, students will demonstrate an understanding and appreciation of how intercultural contact affects the worldviews of societies.

List the skills/performance assessed:

apply the research process:

- integrate and synthesize concepts to provide an informed point of view on a research question or an issue
- develop a position that is supported by information gathered through research
- draw conclusions based upon research and evidence

organize and synthesize researched information

demonstrate skills of oral, written and visual literacy:

• communicate in a persuasive and engaging manner through speeches, multimedia presentations and written and oral reports, taking particular audiences and purposes into consideration

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

| Check All That Apply | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| х | | | |
| | | | |
| х | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Check All That Apply | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| l x | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| v | | | |
| ^ | | | |

| Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like: | |
|---|---|
| Materials (if needed to complete the assessment) | Х |
| Estimated time for administration | |
| Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student | Y |
| see/use? | Λ |
| Other: | Х |

| A high quality assessment should | d beAligned | |
|--|---|---|
| Alignment with Standards | Rating Column | Strengths & Suggestions |
| 1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Select one option below. | | Strengths? • Rigorous and appropriate for grade level and standards |
| Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. | х | Structure supports the thinking of a 6th grader Graphic organizers to help students with their thinking |
| Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. | | Engaging for students Connections of background materials/primary sources for students support learning in |
| No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. | | assessment |
| Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: Learner outcomes outlined in the task and the rubric would need to be | Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1 | Suggestions? • Asking students to explain "to what extent" is too vague for 6th grade. Rewording |
| realigned to CAS in history Alignment with Standards Score | | would be needed. |
| | | |
| Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment | Rating Column | |
| 1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. | | |
| More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. | | |
| | | |
| Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. | Х | |
| | X | |
| indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range | X | |
| indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and | Similar Rigor=3; More Rigor=2; Less Rigor= 1 | |

| Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment Scoring Guide Present: Scoring Guide Present: Scoring Could present: Sak-Specific Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Scoring Guide Present Score 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Vest, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Ves. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Ves. Somewhat a ligned=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Suggestions? *Communication category on rubric could be graded standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating of the grade Standard 3, #2. *Ves=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Suggestions? *Ves=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Suggestions? *Ves=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Suggestions? *No=1 *No=2 *No=2 *No=3 *No=2 *No=3 *No=2 *No=3 *No=2 *No=3 *No=2 *No=3 *No=2 *No=3 *No=3 *No=3 * | A high quality assessment should beScored using | Clear Guidelines an | d Criteria |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored Generalized Rubric (e.g., of persuasive writing, for all science labs) Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1 Za. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with You aligned=1, Not aligned=2, Not aligned=2, Not aligned=2, Not aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1, | | | |
| Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Scoring Guide Present Score 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Scoring Guide Present: | | |
| Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1 Scoring Guide Present Score 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: - See 1b — The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: - See 1b — The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: - Communicate Information category on rubric could be captive in the task or item? - Suggestions? - Communicate Information category on rubric could be mitted or ited to Formulate and Supports Position acategory because it doesn't tied iterctly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment - Suggestions? - No=1 - Suggestions? - Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Somewhat=2, No=1 - Suggestions? - Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Suggestions? - No=1 - Suggestions? - No= | Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored | | |
| Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1 Scoring Guide Present Score Za. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score Zb. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score Zc. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment Zd. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during leacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score Ze. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) | | |
| Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1 Strengths? 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b — The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during leacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task) | Х | |
| Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1 Strengths? 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b — The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during leacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part) | | |
| Ves, several types=3, Yes, at least one types=2, None=1 | | | |
| Scoring Guide Present Score 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: • See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2 | | Yes, several types=3, | 1 |
| 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with \$\text{the Colorado Standards}\$ Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: 8c, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. 8ubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. 8ubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during leacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | | Yes, at least one type=2, | |
| • Clear Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: See, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring river would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | | None=1 | |
| • Easy to use and follow • Adaptable • Students in this assessment. • See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Scoring Guide Present Score | 2 | Strengths ? |
| Provide an explanation of your response: • See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | 2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic | | |
| • See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Standards in this assessment. | | - |
| the Colorado Standards Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Provide an explanation of your response: | Completely aligned=3, | - |
| Rubric Aligned with Standards Score 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment Rubric/Scoring Alignment Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | • See 1b – The criteria matches the intent of the assessment which aligned with | _ | Student friendly |
| **Communicate Information category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to a Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score agiven response? Why or why not? 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | the Colorado Standards | Not aligned=1 | |
| Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Rubric/Scoring Alignment Category on rubric could be omitted or tied to Formulates and Supports Position category because it doesn't tie directly to a Social Studies standard. It ties to Reading, Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. | Rubric Aligned with Standards Score | 2 | |
| Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | 2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance | | |
| There is consistent ranguage and good descriptors of expectations. There is a continuum of development. Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | levels? Provide an explanation of your response: | | |
| Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Rubric/Scoring Alignment Rubric/Scoring Alignment Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Yes, there is consistent language and good descriptors of expectations. There is | | |
| 2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | a continuum of development. | | 1 |
| within the task or item? Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | | 3 | |
| Explain: Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. Writing, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. Visiting, Communicating 6th grade Standard 3, #2. | | | |
| Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | | | _ |
| Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | · | | |
| 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Effective broad rubric, not too specific in detail. | | grade Standard 3, #2. |
| rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Rubric/Scoring Alignment | 2 | |
| given response? Why or why not? Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring | | |
| Yes. Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a | | |
| Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | given response? Why or why not? | | |
| teacher training using exemplars. Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Yes. | | |
| Inter-rater Reliability Score 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Descriptors lead themselves to subjectivity which could done away with during | | |
| Suggestions? Suggestions? No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | | | |
| • Need exemplars No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | Inter-rater Reliability Score | 2 | |
| *Need exemplars No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | 2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates | | |
| No exemplars yet, but indicates they will be developed. | student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? | | Need exemplars |
| | | | |
| | Student Work Samples Score | 1 | |

| A high quality assessment should beF | AIR and UNBIASED | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities) | Rating Column | Strengths/Suggestions |
| 3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? | | |
| Provide an explanation of your response: | | |
| Excellent – spacing, bullet points, bold lettering, graphic organizers | All=3, Some=2, None=1 | |
| "Clear & Uncluttered" Score | 3 | |
| 3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as | | |
| straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? | | |
| Provide an explanation of your response: | | Suggestions? |
| Pretty straightforward – gives overall description, then breaks down steps. | All=3, Some=2, None=1 | Some tweaking of vocabulary Glossary or word bank for |
| "Straight Forward" Score | 3 | students |
| 3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: Some vocabulary might need to be changed depending on student groups being | All-2 Comp-2 None-1 | |
| assessed. | All=3, Some=2, None=1 | |
| Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score 3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic | 3 | |
| language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment doesn't but the supporting resources kids need to use might | | |
| require embedded supports based on the student's needs. | No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1 | |
| "Academic Language" Score | 2 | |
| *Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's | | |
| 3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure | | Strengths? |
| that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the | | o Flexible |
| task or set of items reviewed? | | o Allows accommodations |
| All of the below could be used if needed. | | o Give examples of accommodations |
| Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, | | |
| setting, and timing and scheduling: o Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways that | | |
| do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are | | |
| auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, | | |
| and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of | | |
| assistive device or organizer. Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is | | |
| given or the conditions of the assessment setting. | | |
| Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized. | | |
| Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need. | | |
| 3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment: | | |

| A variety of student self-reflection and peer coaching tools have been provided in this package. These tools are not intended to be used for grading purposes, but rather to scaffold students along the way to successful completion of the performance task. As not all students will require the same type and/or amount of scaffolding, teachers make instructional and coaching decisions based on | | |
|---|--|--|
| student needs. | | |
| After initial suggestions on preparing for the task, the information in this section is organized around the criteria for evaluation as found on the rubric. Thus, teachers can target the areas where they feel students require additional support and guidance. • Assist students in locating sources of information such as those suggested | | |
| below.* This list is by no means comprehensive, and teachers may also wish to select primary source quotes from authorized student resources. | Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1 | |
| "Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score | 3 | |

| The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities | Check all that apply: | Strengths/Suggestions |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| 4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of | | <i>5 7 55</i> |
| your response: Yes, publishing an article | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 | |
| "Engages Students" Score | 3 | Suggestions? |
| 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: | | o May require descriptors definitions or exemplars to help explain to parents |
| Highly effective – use primary sources to support their position in the way historian's work. | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 | |
| Classroom Learning Score | 3 | |
| 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: | | |
| Rubric can be used as a point of discussion with students and parents. Is a talking point. | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 | |
| Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score | 3 | |
| 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of vour response: Complexity is strong and the descriptors and the rubric is strong. That | | |
| communicates expectations for academic excellence. Real world connection sets a context for the academic expectation. | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 | |
| Communicates Academic Excellence Score | 3 | |
| 4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: | | |
| To a high degree. Because it is targeted on one standard. | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 | |
| Standards Competency Score | 3 | |

| 4f : Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response : | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Teacher can use the assessment to adjust instruction and for grading | Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1 |
| Locate evidence Score | |

| | <u>Earned</u> | <u>Possible</u> |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Standards Rating | 2 | 3 |
| Rigor Rating | 3 | 3 |
| Subtotal | 5 | 6 |
| Standards Alignment Percentage | | 83.3% |
| Scoring Guide Present | 2 | 3 |
| Rubric Aligned w/standards | 2 | 3 |
| Rubric/Scoring Coherent | 3 | 3 |
| Rubric/Scoring Alignment | 2 | 3 |
| Inter-rater reliability | 2 | 3 |
| Student work present | 1 | 3 |
| Subtotal | 12 | 18 |
| Scoring Percentage | | 66.7% |
| Clear & Uncluttered Presentation | 3 | 3 |
| Straight Forward Presentation | 3 | 3 |
| Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias | 3 | 3 |
| Academic Language Load | 2 | 3 |
| Adequate Accommodations Allowed | 3 | 3 |
| Subtotal | 14 | 15 |
| Fair & Unbiased Percentage | | 93.3% |
| Engagement | 3 | 3 |
| Reflects Classroom Learning | 3 | 3 |
| Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes | 3 | 3 |
| Communicates Academic Excellence | 3 | 3 |
| Competency on Standards Score | 3 | 3 |
| Locate evidence Score | 2 | 3 |
| Subtotal | 17 | 18 |
| Opportunities to Learn Percentage | | 94.4% |
| Grand Total | 48 | 57 |
| Overall Percentage | | 84.2% |

Strong alignment with Colorado standard for 6th grade H2 (Meets Alignment Criteria)

Quality rubric that is also adaptable

(Meets Scoring Criteria)

Since it deals with ancient cultures it eradicates the possibility of bias.

(Meets Fairness and Bias Criteria)

Provides feedback to teachers, parents, and students

(Meets Opportunities to Learn Criteria)

Review Team Recommendation: (check the statement that best reflects your team's recommendation): **This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box**

| Fully Recommended | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| | x - assessment |
| Partially Recommended | needs to be |
| | realigned to CAS |
| Not Recommended | |

Rationale: