High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: 8th

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.1-EO.a; SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.1-

EO.c; SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.2-EO.b

What is the DOK of the assessment?

3 - taking evidence from primary sources, interpreting, and formulating a coherent writing sample supporting with facts, thus defending their point of view

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

DOK 1-3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Content Knowledge - The impact of slavery in America; how government and the groups and individuals were affected

List the skills/performance assessed:

Use information from documents and background knowledge to write an essay discussing government efforts dealing with the issue of slavery in America as well as individual and/or group efforts to deal with the issue of slavery

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) **Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Check All That Apply		
x		
x		

Check All That Apply

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction	
before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after	
students have learned)	Х
Scoring Guide/Rubric	Х
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	X
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	copies of the
whaterials (if needed to complete the assessment)	assessment
Estimated time for administration	1.5 hours
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student	
see/use?	Х
Other:	conversion chart

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		
Addresses the skills set forth in history standard 1.1 - (variety of source and use those sources to defend and explain a historical event). This assessment also asks the student to defend the events impact - continuity and change over time - which is history 1.2)	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Donth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.	Rating Column	
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range	Rating Column	
1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous — most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor — most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor — most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: Similar rigor is assessed as this is an assessment of DOK 2 and 3 and the	Rating Column Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor=1	

A high quality assessment should beScored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria		
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	Х	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	Х	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	Х	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	Х	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
•	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	3	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: The scoring guide aligns to		
academic standards as it identifies what a student will score if they are		
unsatisfactory on an answer, partially proficient, proficient, or advanced		
based on what information the student writes. What the student writes		
indicates the students ability to show the skills learned throughout the	Completely aligned=3,	
year that meet these standards.	Somewhat aligned=2,	
,	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Very specific, down to what is needed to score a certain amount of points	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
with examples and anchor papers.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain: Very thorough - again it identified down to the word what		
students need to score a certain point on the rubric/scoring guide.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
The rubric is so specific, it would allow all graders to grade exactly the	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
same way to show the same amount of growth.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?		
Everything is there to help the scorer understand what should be graded.		
There is very little left to interpretation. An explanation of why the work	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
was scored that particular way	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	3	

eFAIR and UNBIAS	SED
Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
All=3, Some=2, None=1	
3	
All=3, Some=2, None=1	
3	
All=3, Some=2, None=1	
3	
No=3, Somewhat=2,	
Yes=1	
3	
I	
	All=3, Some=2, None=1 All=3, Some=2, None=1 All=3, Some=2, None=1 3 No=3, Somewhat=2,

3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this	
assessment:	
A range of accommodations is evident. Response accommodations,	Yes, Several allowed=3;
timing, adapting depth of questions or amount of document given can all	Yes, Some allowed=2;
be done.	None allowed =1
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	3

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a	,	2 . 00
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
The assessment does not, but the content that is assessed through these	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
standards does engage the student.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	2	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Students have to be able to interpret the documents which is a learned		
skills through classroom lessons. Further, using the documents to		
formulate an extended response will show what students have learned in	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
the classroom. Because students are constructing their own responses,	No=1	
that shows you what they know - it is not based on probability.		
Classroom Learning Score	2	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and	3	
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
This is a summative assessment that will show what the student has been		
able to accomplish at the end of the year. The score will show the growth	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
of the student if a pre-assessment is given at the beginning of the year. In	No=1	
that lies the dialogue.		
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment is based on the student's ability to effectively		
communicate their learning - this is a necessary skill and the content (slavery) is the foundation of societal structures, so this will allow students	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
to know why things happen in society.	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	2	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Yes, this is one of the better assessments that shows the teacher if the		
student can use sources to ask questions, evaluate primary sources,	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
analyze and interpret data to develop interpretations and defend them	No=1	
using evidence.		
Standards Competency Score	3	

4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:	
This is clearly a summative assessment because students are required to incorporate knowledge learned during the unit into their constructed response.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1
Locate evidence Score	3

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	3	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Scoring Percentage		100.0%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	15	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		100.0%
Engagement	2	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	2	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	16	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		88.9%
Grand Total	54	57
Overall Percentage		94.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	x	Links to other 8th grade New York State Regents released exams http://www.nysedregents.org/Gra de8/SocialStudies/home.html
Partially Recommended		
Not Recommended		