### **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Visual Art

Name of Assessment: Stylish Sneakers

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 4-19-2012

#### **Assessment Profile**

# Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

4th

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

VA09-GR.4-S.1-GLE.1, VA09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.1, VA09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.2, VA09-

GR.4-S.3-GLE.1, VA09-GR.4-S.3.GLE.2, VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK 1-4

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

**DOK 1-4** 

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

To observe and describe art. To envision and plan a design. To critique and evaluate one's own art.

### List the skills/performance assessed:

- To use the language of art to respond to their own art and the art of others.
- 2. To create 2D plans to design a functional 3D object 3. To evaluate/critique one's own art in reference to a prompt.

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain Check All That Apply item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization experimentation, invention, revision)

Check All That Apply	
Х	
.,	
Х	4
Х	
	4
	4
	Ц

## The assessment includes:

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

### Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

**Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt –** what does the student see/use?

Other:

<b>Check All That Apply</b>
X
X
X
X
X



A high quality assessment should	d beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestion
La.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of	-	
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to	1	
support your response:		
Direct alignment to VA09-GR.4-S.1-GLE.1, VA09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.1, VA09-		
GR.4-S.2-GLE.2, VA09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.1, VA09-GR.4-S.3.GLE.2: e.g. "Students		
invent and use ways of generating visual, spatial, and temporal concepts, in		
planning works of art and design; Students select and use form, media,		
techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
meaning or function of works of art and design; Students analyze and	Match= 1	
interpret works of art and design for relationships between: form and		
context, form and meaning or function, and the work of critics, historians,		
aestheticians, and artists/designers.		
Alignment with Standards Score	2	
Allgiment With Standards Score		
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.	1	
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:  Provides DOK 2-3 in VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 states,	Similar Rigor=2: More	
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:  Provides DOK 2-3 in VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 states, "response demonstrates the student's ability to communicate their	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:  Provides DOK 2-3 in VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 states, "response demonstrates the student's ability to communicate their intentions, reflect on their actions and recognize purposes and reasons for	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:  Provides DOK 2-3 in VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 states, "response demonstrates the student's ability to communicate their	_	
Chan the range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.  Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:  Provides DOK 2-3 in VA09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 states, tresponse demonstrates the student's ability to communicate their intentions, reflect on their actions and recognize purposes and reasons for	_	

	g Clear Guidelines a	nd Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestic
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	Х	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		•
	Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic		
Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: Somewhat. The rubrics are loosely		
tied to the CAS, but with some rewording could align better: e.g. Scorable		
Unit 1 says, "Student specifically identifies the similarities of elements and		
styles between the art works A and B. And discerns differences in form as		
related to those elements," and could be reworded to address S.1-GLE-1 by		
saying, "Student compares and contrasts the characteristics and expressive	Completely aligned=3,	
	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score  2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across	2	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response</b> :		
Yes, the scoring categories are clearly defined by providing expectations for		
proficiency: e.g. "Student's artwork exhibits original and creative use of		
color, line, shape, and materials. The work creates a concept for an original		
An Alice representation of the U.S. Committee of the Comm	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  Explain:		
The rubric/scoring criteria address most of the demands within the task: e.g.		
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function		
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and		
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.	3	
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.	3	
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  Yes, raters would arrive at similar or same conclusions due to clear criteria: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 says, "In describing their artwork, the student clearly describes the imagery and explicit details used in the artwork to reflect the	3	
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.  **Rubric/Scoring Alignment**  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  Yes, raters would arrive at similar or same conclusions due to clear criteria: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 says, "In describing their artwork, the student clearly	3	
Scorable Unit 2 says, "Students select and use form, media, techniques, and processes to achieve goodness of fit with the intended meaning or function of works of art and design. This response demonstrates the students ability to utilize materials in connection with creative problem solving, develop transfer of knowledge from one form to another, and produce an example of how one artwork will be used to analyze one art work to synthesize another." The task for the most part does this.  Rubric/Scoring Alignment  2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?  Yes, raters would arrive at similar or same conclusions due to clear criteria: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 says, "In describing their artwork, the student clearly describes the imagery and explicit details used in the artwork to reflect the previous artwork. Direct relationships among the student's own work and	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	

<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would		
be needed?		
No exemplars are provided		
Student Work Samples Score	1	

A high quality assessment should bel	FAIR and UNBIASED	
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	nating column	ou onguis, ouggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
This is a teacher version; a student version would need to be created. The		
teacher format is visually clear.	All 2 Cours 2 Nove 4	
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	2	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?  Provide an explanation of your response:		
Yes, the items are presented in a straightforward way for a range of learners:		
e.g. Scorable Unit 3 asks students to, "1. What materials did you choose to		
use for you design? 2. Why did you use these materials? 3. Explain one		
thing that makes your design different from the artwork you chose. 4.		
Describe how you used certain lines, shapes, and colors to make your design.		
5. Why did you choose to arrange the lines, shapes, and colors the way you		
did in you design?"	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the		
items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
The vocabulary and the context of the items are mostly free from cultural		
and other unintended biases. See 3b response for an example of		
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic		
anguage* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Somewhat. In the constructed response items, students are expected to use		
a high level of academic language, but there are no exemplar of what this		
academic language might include: e.g. Scorable Unit 3 says, "In describing		
their artwork, the student clearly describes the imagery and explicit details		
used in the artwork to reflect the previous artwork. Direct relationships		
among the student's own work and those observed are made through		
	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
explicit examples from the artworks."	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	2	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
Standards"		
(http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4q		
wcy&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Langua		
ge)		
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?  Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?  Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		

<ul> <li>Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments,</li> </ul>	
and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type	
of assistive device or organizer.	
<ul> <li>Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is</li> </ul>	
given or the conditions of the assessment setting.	
o <b>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations</b> — Increase the allowable length of time	
to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is	
organized.	
<ul> <li>Linquistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access</li> </ul>	
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The	
accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is	
different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.	
, ,	
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this	
assessment:	
	Yes, Several allowed=3;
No accommodations are stated.	Yes, Some allowed=2;
	None allowed =1
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	1

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO	DLEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real		
world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation		
of your response:		
Yes, the central problem is to design a sneaker - which applies to a real world	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
artistic task and would be an engaging challenge for a 4th grader.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have learned		
in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
The assessment would provide a evidence of student ability to observe and	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
describe art, design, discuss function, and critique art.	No=1	
describe and design, disease randiction, and antique and		
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student		
work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and		
outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your		
response:		
This assessment would a useful tool for discussing students' understanding		
of compare and contrast, design principles, relating art to function/purpose,	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
and ability to describe expressive features.	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate		
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other		
content areas or 21st century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of</b>		
your response: The task communicates expectations for academic excellence: e.g. "The		
students will view selected art works to identify, compare, and analyze		
relationships between two art forms using similar designs. The students will		
select an art work to develop relationships between the artwork and their		
design for a pair of sneakers."		
aesign for a pair of sneakers.		

1	ī
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
	No=1
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,	
to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student	
work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like?	
Provide an explanation of your response:	
Results for the assessment would provide evidence of student	
comprehension in the following categories: To observe and describe art, To	
envision and plan a design, To critique and evaluate one's own art.	
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
	No=1
Standards Competency Score	3
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,	
to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the	
assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction,	
etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:	
The assessment offers both formative and summative purposes.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
	No=1
Locate evidence Score	3

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	14	18
Scoring Percentage		77.8%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	2	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	2	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	1	3
Subtotal	11	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		73.3%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	48	57
Overall Percentage		84.2%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X
Not Recommended	