## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: World Languages -- Spanish

Name of Assessment: FLENJ School Life: The Pros and Cons of Homeschooling

**Reviewer: Content Collaborative** 

Date of Review: September 20, 2012

Assessment Profile		
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types): Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	Check All That Apply	
Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	Х	
<b>Extended Response</b> (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	Х	
<b>Product</b> (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	Х	
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	x x	
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply	]
<b>Teacher directions</b> (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned)	Х	
Scoring Guide/Rubric Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like Materials (if needed to complete the assessment) Estimated time for administration	X X X	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	X	Prompts and directions need to be translated into Spanish according to the "Teacher Notes"
Other:		

## A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Grade Level/Range Level: High School, Intermediate-Mid		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Range Level Expectations evaluated by the		
Assessment: WL09-IM-S.1-GLE.1; WL09-IM-S.1-GLE.2; WL09-IM-S.1-GLE.3; WL09-IM-S.2-		
GLE.1; WL09-IM-S.3-GLE.2 3.2; WL09-IM-S.4-GLE.2		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Range Level Expectations: Level 4		
Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels) : Level 4		
<b>1b.</b> Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the		
performance task: vocabulary relating to school, vocabulary words to be able to compare		
and contrast, grammatical concepts to give opinions (subjunctive)		

Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5 Rating Column  Similar Rigor=2, More Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	Comments This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the Intermediate-Mid level.
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5 Rating Column	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i could be adjusted to the
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an Intermediate-High task, but i
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	This task is designed as an
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1 5	
Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal	
Match=4; Partial	
· ·	
Full Match=5; Close	

## A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
□ Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
☐ <b>Generalized Rubric</b> (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
<ul> <li>Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)</li> </ul>	Х	
□ <b>Checklist</b> (e.g., with score points for each part)		
□ Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Rating Column	
<b>2a.</b> Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The interpretive rubric is closely aligned to the CAS for WL; however, the presentational and interpersonal rubrics are based on the ACTFL performance guidelines, and may need to be slightly modified to align with CAS for WL.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	

Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating	2
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Yes, there is a clear and logical progression between levels across the rubric, and the categories are clearly defined.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	3
<b>2c.</b> To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> The rubrics do not mention completing the task.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	2
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response.  Provide an explanation of your response. Because the rubrics are lacking the task completion category, different raters might arrive at different scores.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating	1
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? <b>If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?</b> No student work is provided. Samples of the interpersonal and presentational parts would be needed.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Student Work Samples Rating	1

## A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Each task is clearly delineated and both students and teachers will not have any trouble following it or understanding what needs to be done.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? <b>Provide an explanation of your response: T</b> asks are presented in a straightforward way; however, they are in English and there are no graphics and gives no instructions providing for differentiation.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Straight Forward Rating	2	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The topic could be considered a controversial topic and the interpretive task description does imply that the student is in favor of homeschooling.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> Yes, the assessment is written with vocabulary and language appropriate to the grade level. The language is student friendly making it easy for students to understand the tasks.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Academic Language Rating	3	
<b>3e.</b> Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> There are no homonyms or confusing language.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Confusing Language Rating	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language)		
<b>3f.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> If providing the instructions for the task in English, linguistic accommodations may need to be made for English Language Learners.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:  o Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.  o Response Accommodations —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.  o Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  o Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		

o Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.	
<b>3g:</b> Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> It is possible to make accommodations if needed, but no accommodations are suggested or provided.	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	1

A high quality assessmentIncreases Opportunities to Le	<u>arn</u>	
Opportunities to Learn (the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes, students must research, discuss, and write about a real world issue.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Engagement Rating	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The assessment gives good information about students' communicative skills in the three modes. By focusing on an international, cultural topic as its content focus, students can show their ability to analyze cultural perspectives and practices and make cross cultural connections.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Classroom Learning Rating	3	
Ac. To what degree do the results from this assessment ( <i>scores and student work analysis</i> ) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Because the teacher will have three different pieces of information to share with students and parents, this TOA provides an excellent portrait of a student's communicative proficiency.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	
Ad. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: This task requires accurate interpretation of an authentic written text and appropriate presentational and interpersonal communication in a meaningful context.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Communicate Academic Excellence Rating	3	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results ( <i>scores and student work analysis</i> ) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: This task is clearly aligned with CAS for WL 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.2, and 4.2 for the intermediate-Mid Range Level Expectation which allows the teacher to recognize competencies on the standards.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Competency on Standards Rating	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
response: This assessment could be used for diagnostic purposes, a grade, and for nforming future instruction.		

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	5	5
Rigor Rating	2	2
Subtotal	7	7
		100.0%
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	2	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	1	3
Student Work Samples Rating	1	3
Subtotal	9	15
		60.0%
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	3
Straight Forward Rating	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating	3	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	1	2
Subtotal	15	17
		88.2%
Engagement Rating	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	3	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
		100.0%
Grand Total	49	57
		86.0%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	X
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	

While this is an excellent assessment, it may need some adjustments to match the Intermediate-Mid Proficiency Range Level