High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: World Language

Name of Assessment: Personal-information-interpersonal-oral

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 09/20/12

Assessment Profile	
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):	Check All That Apply
Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	
Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	Х
Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	Х
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned)	х
Scoring Guide/Rubric	X
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like	
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment) Estimated time for administration	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	х
Other:	

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Novice Low Level		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the		
Assessment: WL09-NL-S.1-GLE.1 Interpersonal mode		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: 1-2		
Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels): 1		
1b. Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the		
performance task: Describing oneself, introduction		
1c. List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?): Converse		
using lower level vocabulary and stock phrases.		
1d. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed		
or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Use the definitions		
below to select your rating.		
□ Full match – all tasks or items fully address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		

□ Close match − most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. □ Partial match − many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. □ Minimal match − some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. □ No match − task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: This activity asks for typical novice low activities with reasonable expectations.		
	Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1	
Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating		
	Rating Column	Comments
1e . Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the range level expectations? Use the definitions below to select your rating.		
 More rigorous − most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor − most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor − most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: This activity asks for typical novice low activities with reasonable expectations. 		
	Similar Rigor=2, More	
	Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	
Rigor Level Rating	2	

A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
□ Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
☐ Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	Х	
□ Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
□ Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	X	
□ Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	Х	
	Rating Column	
2a. Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: The IB rubric marches to the beat of its own drum, but there is some overlap with the standards.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating	2	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: The rubric is not organized in a familiar way, and would take some getting used to.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	2	
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Provide an explanation of your response. The rubric is thorough.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1	
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. Provide an explanation of your response. The rubric is wordy and unfamiliar. It would take practice to become consistent.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	

Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating	1
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? The activity is oral/aural with no concrete evidence unless done with student recording in a lab.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Student Work Samples Rating	1

A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

To what out on the most of the items on the tacks designed and formatted to be	The assessment does is not
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? Provide an explanation of your response: While the assessment itself is vague, its vagueness is well organized and easy to read. Clear O. M. A. M.	required. It uses vague instructions like "talk about what you have learned" which could
Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3	be interpreted as being open to
as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: The assignment is vague and could easily leave many learners scratching their heads and wondering exactly what they are expected to do. High=3, Modera Low=1	te=2, accommodation. Individual
Straight Forward Rating 1	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: Because the specific assignment is unclear, it could be interpreted differently by different people. High=3, Modera Low=1	te=2,
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 1	
3d. Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? Provide an explanation of your response. The language itself is perfectly clear. The message, on the other hand Yes=3, Somewhat No=1	at=2,
Academic Language Rating 3	
3e. Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). Provide an explanation of your response. No confusing language is present.	at=2,
Confusing Language Rating 3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&cof=FORID:10&q=De fining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language)	
3f. If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? Provide an explanation of your response.	
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling: o Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. o Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive	
device or organizer. o Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. o Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.	
o Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.	
3g: Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an explanation of your response. The assessment itself is so unclear, there are no accommodations. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 1	

A high quality assessment...Increases Opportunities to Learn

Students should be expected to use what they learned in class, which should have connected to the real world. Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations	Rating Column High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	It is foundational, establishes how students could be tested throughout the course. It targets the basics expected of novice low students
talented students, and students with disabilities) 4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Students should be expected to use what they learned in class, which should have connected to the real world. Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	how students could be tested throughout the course. It targets the basics expected of novice low
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Students should be expected to use what they learned in class, which should have connected to the real world. Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	throughout the course. It targets the basics expected of novice low
context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Students should be expected to use what they learned in class, which should have connected to the real world. Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	the basics expected of novice low
Students should be expected to use what they learned in class, which should have connected to the real world. Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Engagement Rating 4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Ab. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1 3 High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Classroom Learning Rating 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1	
students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was learned. Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	Low or None=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	3	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.		
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.		
for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2;	
Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: As it is written, the assessment is vague, but has potential.	High=3; Moderate=2;	
assessment is vague, but has potential.		
	Low or None=1	
Communicate Academic Excellence Rating		
Sommaniante Address Executive Nating	2	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what		
extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to		
understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your	High=3; Moderate=2;	
response: Organized differently, the assessment could be a good indicator of what was	Low or None=1	
taught and what was learned.		
Competency on Standards Rating	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent		
do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic,	High=3; Moderate=2;	
report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:	Low or None=1	
This assessment could serve a variety of purposes at the discretion of the teacher.		
Clavity of Dymasa Pating	3	
Clarity of Purpose Rating	3	
Summary Chandrade Paties	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating Rigor Rating	5 2	<u> </u>
Subtotal	7	7
Subtotal	•	100.0%
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	3	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	1	3
Student Work Samples Rating	1	3
Subtotal	9	15
		60.0%
Clear & Uncluttered Rating Straight Forward Rating	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	1	3
Academic Language Rating	3	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3

Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	1	2
Subtotal	12	17
		70.6%
Engagement Rating	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	2	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	17	18
		94.4%
Grand Total	45	57
		78.9%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X
Not Recommended	